

Table 2. Clinical trials of iNO in Adult Transplant, LVAD implantation

CITATION	STUDY DESIGN	N	SETTING	COMPARISON	MEASURES	PRIMARY RESULTS	SAFETY
Kieler Jensen et al. [34]	Randomized	12	PH after transplant;	iNO, sodium nitroprusside (SNP), prostacyclin	systemic or pulmonary AP, cardiac output, RVF, or systemic VR. PCWP, transpulmonary pressure gradient	iNO is a selective pulmonary vasodilator	Arterial and pulmonary arterials levels of nitrate increased during INO and this increase was paralleled by an increase in MetHb levels to ~2%.
Argenziano M et al.[35]	Randomized, double blind	11	PH after LVAD	iNO or N ₂	pulmonary hemodynamics + LVAD flow	iNO 20 ppm on weaning from CPB (vs N) induced significant reduction in mPAP and increase in LVAD flow	No systemic hypotension, hypoxia or other adverse events. Ventilator failure precipitated abrupt iNO cessation in 1 patient → reversible hemodynamic collapse and VF.
Rajek A et al. [36]	Randomized	68	transplant;	PGE1 vs iNO (20ppm)	hemodynamics and “successful” weaning off CPB	PGE1 vs iNO 4ppm and titrated to reduce PVR during transplant; iNO aided weaning from CPB more successfully than PGE1	Arterial and pulmonary arterials levels of nitrate increased during inhalation of NO and was paralleled by an increase in MetHb levels to about 2%.
Ardehali A et al. [37]	Prospective, open	16	Xplant;		systemic and pulmonary hemodynamics, RV function	Post-transplant iNO 20ppm started before term of CPB significantly reduced RV stroke work and PVR.	No MetHb. NO ₂ < 0.5 ppm in all pts. RV dysfunction in 1iNO vs. 6 controls.
Radovancevic B et al. [38]	Randomized, crossover	19	Pre-Xplant	PGE1 vs iNO	pulmonary hemodynamics; systolic PAP, PCWP, right atrial pressure, cardiac output	PGE1 vs iNO in testing for PH reversibility in heart transplant candidates; comparable dilatory effects in PH	No mention of adverse events. No significant decrease in cardiac index.
Potapov E et al. [39]	Randomized, double blind (INOT41)	150	Management of RVF with LVAD on CPB;	INO 40ppm or placebo for 48h, then cross-over if no response	RV function, time on MV, requirement for RVAD, LOS, 28-day mortality	iNO decreased (non-significantly) incidence of failures, Secondary endpoint (time on MV) significantly better with iNO	No major safety issues were identified
Khan TA et al. [40]	Randomized, crossover	25	Heart, lung transplant	iNO vs prostacyclin	primary endpoint: mean PA pressure, secondary endpoints: CVP, CI, mixed venous O ₂ saturation (SvO ₂), mean systemic arterial pressure, and oxygenation index	In heart transplant and lung transplant recipients, iNO and prostacyclin similarly reduce PAP and central venous pressure, and improve CI and SvO ₂ . Median ICU stay was 3 days.	No patient required invasive treatment to manage PHT or RV dysfunction.

BP=blood pressure, CI=cardiac index, CO=cardiac output, CPB= cardiopulmonary bypass, CVP=central venous pressure, HR=heart rate, ICU= intensive care unit, iNO=inhaled nitric oxide, i-PGi2=inhaled prostacyclin, LAP=left atrial pressure, MAP=mean arterial pressure, metHB=methemoglobin, MPAP=mean pulmonary arterial pressure, MV=mechanical ventilation, NTP=nitroprusside, O₂=oxygen, PCWP= pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, PH=pulmonary hypertension, PGE1=IV prostaglandin E1, PVR=pulmonary vascular resistance, PVRI= pulmonary vascular resistance index, RV=right ventricular, RVAD=right ventricular assist device, RVEF=right ventricular ejection fraction, SVRI=systemic vascular resistance index, SvO₂=mixed venous oxygen saturation.